



**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
AIKEN DIVISION**

AMELIA WOODS-SALTERS,
Plaintiff,

vs.

KILOLO KIJAKAZI, *Acting Commissioner of
the Social Security Administration*,
Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:23-00262-MGL-SVH

**ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
AND REVERSING AND REMANDING THE CASE
FOR FURTHER ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS**

This is a Social Security appeal in which Amelia Woods-salters seeks judicial review of the final decision of Defendant Kilolo Kijakazi (Kijakazi) denying her claims for Disability Insurance Benefits and Supplemental Security Income. The matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (Report) of the United States Magistrate Judge suggesting Kijakazi's decision be reversed and this action be remanded for further administrative proceedings in accordance with the Report. The Magistrate Judge filed the Report in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may

accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The Magistrate Judge filed the Report on September 7, 2023, but Kijakazi failed to file any objections. “[I]n the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must ‘only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.’” *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee’s note). Moreover, a failure to object waives appellate review. *Wright v. Collins*, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th Cir. 1985).

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case pursuant to the standard set forth above, the Court adopts the Report and incorporates it herein. Therefore, it is the judgment of the Court Kijakazi’s decision is **REVERSED** and this case is **REMANDED** for further administrative proceedings in accordance with the Report.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 3rd day of October, 2023, in Columbia, South Carolina.

/s/ Mary Geiger Lewis
MARY GEIGER LEWIS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE